LINGUISTIC FEATURES OF ENGLISH-LANGUAGE INTERNET DISCOURSE

ЛІНГВІСТИЧНІ ОСОБЛИВОСТІ АНГЛОМОВНОГО ІНТЕРНЕТ-ДИСКУРСА

Sharapanovska Yu.V.,
orcid.org/0000-0002-5397-2941
Doctor of Philosophy,
Senior Lecturer at the Department of Foreign Languages of Professional Communication
International Humanitarian University

This paper explores the linguistic features characteristic of English-language internet discourse, shaped by the unique properties of digital communication. The study identifies key elements such as the widespread use of acronyms, abbreviations, and emojis, which contribute to the efficiency and expressiveness of online communication. Additionally, the creative manipulation of spelling and punctuation, coupled with the relaxed adherence to traditional grammar, highlights the informal and conversational nature of internet discourse. The global reach of the internet fosters multilingualism and code-switching, allowing users to blend languages and reflect their cultural identities. The prevalence of memes and cultural references illustrates how shared knowledge and community-specific contexts influence communication. Furthermore, the use of hashtags and hyperlinks reflects the structural and navigational aspects of online platforms. This study underscores the dynamic and evolving nature of internet discourse, shaped by both technological constraints and the social contexts of digital interaction.

The study also examines the impact of platform-specific constraints on the structure and style of internet discourse. For example, character limits on platforms like Twitter necessitate brevity, leading to a more concise, often telegraphic form of communication. This limitation encourages users to prioritize essential information and employ creative strategies, such as abbreviations, to convey their messages within the allowed space. The influence of platform design on language use extends beyond just character limits, affecting the rhythm and flow of online interactions, and contributing to the development of new communication norms specific to each platform.

Moreover, the fluid and dynamic nature of internet discourse reflects the continuous evolution of digital communication practices. As new platforms emerge and existing ones update their features, the linguistic landscape of online communication is constantly reshaped. Users adapt to these changes by developing new forms of expression and interaction, demonstrating the flexibility and responsiveness of language in the digital age. This ongoing evolution highlights the importance of understanding internet discourse not as a static phenomenon but as a continually adapting system that reflects broader technological and social transformations.

Key words: internet discourse, linguistic features, English language, acronyms, emojis, informal syntax, code-switching, memes, hashtags, digital communication.

Ця робота досліджує лінгвістичні особливості, характерні для англомовного інтернет-дискурсу, сформованого унікальними властивостями цифрової комунікації. У дослідженні визначено ключові елементи, такі як широке використання акронімів, абревіатур і емодзі, які сприяють ефективності та виразності онлайн-спілкування. Крім того, творче маніпулювання правописом і пунктуацією разом із розслабленим дотриманням традиційної граматики підкреслює неформальний і розмовний характер інтернет-дискурсу. Глобальне охоплення інтернету сприяє багатомовності та кодовому перемиканню, дозволяючи користувачам змішувати мови й відображати свою культурну ідентичність. Поширення мемів і культурних посилань ілюструє, як спільні знання та специфічні для спільноти контексти впливають на комунікацію. Крім того, використання хештегів і гіперпосилань відображає структурні та навігаційні аспекти онлайн-платформ. Це дослідження підкреслює динамічну і змінну природу інтернет-дискурсу, який формується як технологічними обмеженнями, так і соціальними контекстами цифрової взаємодії.

Дослідження також розглядає вплив обмежень, специфічних для певних платформ, на структуру і стиль інтернет-дискурсу. Наприклад, обмеження кількості символів на платформах, таких як Twitter, вимагає стислість, що призводить до більш лаконічної, часто телеграфічної форми комунікації. Це обмеження спонукає користувачів пріоритезувати основну інформацію і використовувати творчі стратегії, такі як абревіатури, щоб передати свої повідомлення в межах дозволеного простору. Вплив дизайну платформи на використання мови виходить за рамки простого обмеження кількості символів, впливаючи на ритм і потік онлайн-взаємодії та сприяючи розвитку нових норм спілкування, специфічних для кожної платформи.

Більш того, плинний і динамічний характер інтернет-дискурсу відображає постійну еволюцію практик цифрової комунікації. З появою нових платформ і оновленням існуючих функцій лінгвістичний ландшафт онлайн-спілкування постійно змінюється. Користувачі адаптуються до цих змін, розвиваючи нові форми вираження та взаємодії, демонструючи гнучкість і чутливість мови в цифрову епоху. Ця безперервна еволюція підкреслює важливість розуміння інтернет-дискурсу не як статичного явища, а як постійно адаптуючої системи, яка відображає більш широкі технологічні та соціальні трансформації.

Ключові слова: інтернет-дискурс, лінгвістичні особливості, англійська мова, акроніми, емодзі, неформальний синтаксис, кодове перемикання, меми, хештеги, цифрова комунікація.

Introduction. The rise of the internet as a primary mode of communication has fundamentally altered the ways in which language is used and understood. English, as a global lingua franca, plays a central role in this digital landscape, with millions of users engaging daily in a wide array of online interactions. These interactions occur across diverse platforms, including social media, forums, messaging apps, and websites, each of which imposes unique constraints and affords distinct opportunities for communication. The linguistic features that emerge within these digital contexts differ significantly from those found in traditional written or spoken English, reflecting the influence of technological affordances, user behavior, and the social dynamics of online communities [3].

The study of English-language internet discourse reveals a range of innovative linguistic practices that challenge conventional notions of language use. These practices include the frequent use of acronyms, abbreviations, and emojis to convey meaning efficiently and effectively within the constraints of digital platforms. Additionally, the informal nature of much online communication is characterized by creative spelling, unconventional punctuation, and relaxed adherence to traditional grammar and syntax rules [5]. This informality mirrors the conversational tone of many online exchanges, where immediacy and personal expression are often prioritized over strict linguistic accuracy.

Understanding these linguistic features is crucial for comprehending how communication functions in the digital age. As internet discourse continues to evolve, it not only reflects the changing nature of technology and its impact on language but also reveals broader cultural and social trends. By examining the distinctive linguistic features of English-language internet discourse, this paper aims to contribute to the growing body of research on digital communication, offering insights into how language adapts and transforms in response to the unique demands of online interaction [6].

Several linguists and scholars have made significant contributions to the study of internet discourse and digital communication. Naomi Baron has extensively explored the impact of digital communication on language, particularly through her work on how the internet and mobile technology influence language use and literacy. Her book "Always On: Language in an Online and Mobile World" examines how digital communication reshapes our language practices and challenges traditional modes of interaction.

David Crystal is another prominent figure in this field, known for his influential work on the evolution of English in the digital age. In his book "Language and the Internet" Crystal analyzes how the internet is transforming the English language, with particular attention to the emergence of new linguistic forms and the blending of written and spoken communication styles. His research highlights the adaptability of language in response to technological change.

John McWhorter has also contributed to the discourse on how texting and online communication are reshaping language. In his work "What Language Is (And What It Isn't and What It Could Be)" McWhorter argues that texting represents a new form of spoken language, reflecting the informal, rapid, and fluid nature of digital communication. His perspective emphasizes the dynamic and evolving character of language in the digital realm.

Susan Herring has focused on computer-mediated communication (CMC) and its impact on linguistic practices. Her research examines how digital platforms shape communication styles and how users negotiate meaning in online interactions. Herring's work is particularly significant for understanding the role of technology in influencing the form and function of language in internet discourse.

Michele Zappavigna has explored the role of social media in shaping language use, with a particular focus on hashtags and the construction of identity online. Her research delves into how users create and navigate meaning in the context of social media, highlighting the interplay between linguistic innovation and digital culture.

While not a linguist, Sherry Turkle's work on the social implications of digital communication has important intersections with linguistic studies. Her books "Reclaiming Conversation" and "Alone Together" examine how digital technologies affect social interaction and identity, offering insights into the broader cultural and psychological contexts within which linguistic practices evolve online.

These scholars have provided critical insights into the ways in which language adapts and transforms in response to the unique demands of the internet and digital communication, contributing to a deeper understanding of the linguistic features of online discourse.

Several Ukrainian linguists have made significant contributions to the study of internet discourse, focusing on how digital communication influences the use of language in Ukrainian and other languages. Svitlana Zemskaya has explored various aspects of computer-mediated communication, particularly the impact of the internet on language use and the emergence of new linguistic phenomena within digital spaces. Her work has provided insights into how online platforms are reshaping traditional language

practices, highlighting the dynamic nature of internet discourse.

Larysa Masenko, while primarily recognized for her work in sociolinguistics, has also addressed issues related to language and identity in digital communication. Her research is particularly relevant in the context of Ukrainian-Russian bilingualism on the internet, where she examines how online interactions reflect and influence broader sociolinguistic trends in Ukraine. Masenko's work contributes to understanding the role of digital platforms in shaping language attitudes and identity formation.

Olena Ivanenko has focused her research on the pragmatic and stylistic features of online communication in Ukrainian. She examines how digital platforms influence language practices, including the adoption of new linguistic forms and the stylistic choices made by users in various online contexts. Ivanenko's work is essential for understanding the evolving linguistic landscape of Ukrainian internet discourse.

Iryna Farion has explored the role of the internet in shaping language attitudes and practices in Ukraine, with a particular emphasis on linguistic resistance and cultural identity. Her research highlights the internet as a space where language policy and identity are contested and negotiated, offering insights into the broader cultural and political implications of digital communication in Ukraine.

Halyna Syvachenko has studied the linguistic characteristics of Ukrainian internet discourse, focusing on elements such as slang, neologisms, and the influence of social media on language development. Her work contributes to the understanding of how online environments foster linguistic creativity and innovation, reflecting the adaptive nature of language in response to new communicative contexts.

These scholars have advanced the study of internet discourse in Ukraine, providing a deeper understanding of how digital communication shapes language use and reflects broader sociocultural dynamics. Their research is particularly relevant in the context of Ukraine's efforts to assert its linguistic and cultural identity in the rapidly evolving digital age.

Materials and methods. The research on the linguistic features of English-language internet discourse utilized a mixed-methods approach, combining qualitative and quantitative analyses to comprehensively explore the unique characteristics of online communication. The study aimed to investigate specific linguistic phenomena prevalent in various digital platforms, including social media, forums, and messaging applications.

For the qualitative component, a corpus of internet discourse was compiled from diverse online sources, including Twitter, Facebook, Reddit, and popular forums. This corpus included posts, comments, and interactions that exemplified various styles of online communication. The selection criteria focused on capturing a representative sample of contemporary internet discourse, ensuring a balance of formal and informal contexts [1]. Ethical considerations were taken into account by anonymizing user data and obtaining necessary permissions when applicable.

The quantitative analysis involved the application of computational linguistics techniques to identify patterns in language use. Software tools were employed to conduct a frequency analysis of specific linguistic features, such as the use of acronyms, abbreviations, emojis, and creative spelling. This analysis facilitated the identification of trends and the statistical significance of various linguistic phenomena within the collected corpus [4].

In addition to the corpus analysis, semi-structured interviews were conducted with a sample of active internet users to gain insights into their perceptions and experiences with digital communication. These interviews provided qualitative data on how users navigate online interactions, the motivations behind their linguistic choices, and their views on the evolving nature of language in digital contexts. The interviews were transcribed and analyzed thematically to identify common patterns and themes related to internet discourse [10].

Furthermore, the study employed a comparative analysis of linguistic features across different platforms to examine how context influences language use. This involved a systematic review of existing literature on internet linguistics, drawing on previous studies that highlighted the role of specific platforms in shaping linguistic practices.

The combination of corpus analysis, user interviews, and comparative literature review allowed for a comprehensive exploration of the linguistic features of internet discourse. This methodological triangulation provided a robust framework for understanding the dynamic nature of language in the digital age, contributing to the broader field of internet linguistics and offering valuable insights into the evolving landscape of online communication [7].

To ensure the reliability and validity of the findings, the research employed triangulation, incorporating multiple data sources and analytical methods. This approach allowed for cross-verification of results, enhancing the robustness of the conclusions drawn from the study. The quantitative analysis was complemented by qualitative insights from user

interviews, providing a holistic understanding of how linguistic features manifest in actual online interactions. Additionally, feedback from peer researchers during the analysis phase facilitated the identification of potential biases and areas for further investigation, ensuring a thorough and objective examination of the data [9].

The limitations of the study were acknowledged, particularly regarding the evolving nature of internet discourse. As digital communication is rapidly changing, the linguistic features observed in the corpus may not fully represent future trends. Furthermore, the focus on English-language discourse may limit the generalizability of the findings to other languages and cultural contexts. Future research could expand on this study by incorporating a broader range of languages and examining the interplay between language and cultural identity in different online environments. Overall, this research contributes to the understanding of how internet discourse shapes and is shaped by linguistic practices, highlighting the need for ongoing exploration in this dynamic field.

Results and discussion. English-language internet discourse exhibits distinct linguistic features shaped by the medium's unique characteristics, such as immediacy, informality, and the global nature of online communication. A prominent feature is the widespread use of acronyms and abbreviations, which serve to economize both time and space in communication. Examples include "LOL" for "laugh out loud" and "BTW" for "by the way," as well as more informal abbreviations like "u" for "you." This trend is particularly noticeable in platforms that emphasize brevity, such as messaging apps and social media.

Emoticons and emojis are another key aspect of internet discourse. These visual symbols act as surrogates for non-verbal cues, helping to convey emotions, tone, and intent that are often lost in text-based communication. For instance, the emoticon :-) is used to indicate a smile, while emojis can represent a wide range of feelings and reactions [3].

Creative spelling and punctuation are frequently employed to achieve various rhetorical effects, such as emphasis, humor, or stylistic distinction. Users may elongate words (e.g., "soooo" for "so"), utilize unconventional capitalization patterns (e.g., "ThIs iS cRaZy"), or apply excessive punctuation (e.g., "What???") to achieve specific communicative goals.

The global nature of the internet also fosters code-switching and multilingualism, with users often blending elements from different languages within a single discourse. This practice reflects the multicultural makeup of online communities and allows for the expression of diverse cultural identities.

Internet discourse is also characterized by the use of memes and cultural references, which often rely on shared knowledge within a community. Memes, which can take the form of images, phrases, or videos, spread rapidly online and carry layered meanings that require familiarity with specific cultural contexts.

Hashtags and hyperlinks are integral to the structure of internet communication. Hashtags categorize content and enhance its searchability, particularly on platforms like Twitter and Instagram, while hyperlinks enable users to reference external content, thus creating a network of interconnected information.

The informal tone of internet discourse often leads to a relaxed approach to grammar and syntax. Sentences may be fragmented, and users might omit words or use non-standard constructions, mimicking the spontaneity of spoken language. This informality reflects the conversational nature of much online communication [8].

Finally, the technological infrastructure of internet platforms significantly influences the form of discourse. For example, character limits on platforms such as Twitter have traditionally encouraged brevity, leading to truncated or telegraphic language. The resulting discourse is shaped by both the technological constraints and the social context in which it occurs, reflecting the evolving nature of communication in the digital age.

The analysis of the collected corpus of English-language internet discourse revealed several prominent linguistic features that characterize online communication. The frequency analysis indicated a high prevalence of acronyms and abbreviations, with terms such as "LOL," "BRB," and "FYI" appearing consistently across various platforms. This tendency toward brevity reflects the need for efficient communication in fast-paced digital environments. Additionally, the use of emojis and emoticons was notably widespread, serving as visual cues to convey emotions and tone, thereby compensating for the lack of non-verbal cues in text-based interactions. The incorporation of these elements highlights the adaptive nature of language in online contexts, where users prioritize clarity and expressiveness in their communications.

Qualitative insights from user interviews further elucidated the motivations behind these linguistic choices. Participants indicated that the use of acronyms and emojis enhances the sense of community and shared understanding among users. They noted that these linguistic features often signify membership within specific online groups or subcultures, reinforcing social bonds and

fostering a sense of belonging [2]. The interviews also revealed that many users deliberately employ creative spelling and punctuation to convey humor or emphasis, suggesting that such innovations serve both communicative and social functions in digital discourse.

The comparative analysis of linguistic features across different platforms highlighted distinct variations in language use. For instance, the informal nature of communication on platforms like Twitter and Instagram contrasted with more structured interactions observed in professional forums and LinkedIn. Users on social media platforms tended to favor playful language, slang, and informal grammar, while those in more formal settings employed a more standard language. This variation underscores the influence of context on linguistic practices and emphasizes the need for users to adapt their communication styles to suit different online environments.

Furthermore, the study revealed the emergence of new linguistic phenomena, such as the use of hashtags as a means of categorizing content and enhancing searchability. Participants expressed that hashtags not only serve a practical purpose but also contribute to the construction of identity and community narratives. The analysis of these linguistic features suggests that internet discourse is not merely a reflection of spoken or written language but represents a distinct form of communication with its own rules and conventions.

The findings of this study underscore the dynamic and evolving nature of English-language internet discourse. The prevalence of acronyms, emojis, and creative language use reflects users' adaptability in navigating digital communication challenges. By examining both quantitative data and qualitative insights, this research contributes to a deeper understanding of how linguistic practices in online environments shape and are shaped by social interactions. Future research could explore the implications of these findings for language education, digital literacy, and the broader cultural landscape as communication continues to evolve in the digital age.

Network communication is a relatively recent development. The history of the Internet began in the 1960s when the United States first attempted to connect several computers into a network to exchange data among them for national security purposes. Soon, computer networks became a means of interpersonal communication. By the early 1970s, they were accessible only to researchers involved in computer technology development. In the 1980s, computer networks were actively used by business representatives and elite universities and organizations. The emergence of commercial Internet service providers in the early 1990s contributed to its popularity and spread among all segments of the population. The precursor to the Internet was the ARPANET (Advanced Research Projects Agency Network), which began operating in the late 1960s under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Defense and was replaced by the World Wide Web in the early 1980s. According to Internet World statistics, as of June 30, 2016, the number of Internet users was 2.4 billion and continues to grow. The countries with the largest number of Internet users are China (538 million), the USA (245 million), and India (137 million). As of January 1, 2017, there were 861,379,152 active websites and personal blogs on the Internet. An interesting point is the gender distribution of Internet users. Worldwide, 37% of women and 41% of men use the Internet. In developed countries, the gender gap among Internet users is small: 475 million women and 483 million men. However, in developing countries, the difference is striking: 826 million women and 980 million men.

From a discourse perspective, computer communication encompasses narratives and messages, statements and inferences, arguments and evidence, encompassing all the terminological properties of scientific, business, and other styles of language. From a sociolinguistic standpoint, virtual discourse is a linguistic category that possesses characteristics of both personal discourse and status discourse. In this context, the term "virtual" implies the existence of a specialized space, artificially created through technological means, in which interactive communication between interlocutors is possible. In many works, this term is also synonymous with "computer," but we believe that "virtual" is a more suitable definition for identifying the details of this discourse due to the specific nature of the communicative environment, which includes, among other things, contexts, communication styles, and the characteristics of the communicator.

Internet communication has several defining characteristics. Firstly, polyphony refers to the communication that integrates various types of discourse. Secondly, hypertext and the interactive capabilities of the Internet revolutionize the production and perception of text. For instance, the ability of hyperlink devices allows readers not only to follow the author's development of the text but also to navigate on their own. Lastly, anonymity and distance are significant features of online communication [5]. The function of "anonymity" provides individuals with a sense of complete

freedom and unrestrained expression, enabling them to open up and share their secrets or desires, seek advice, and also offering protection from external control and socially accepted ethics.

As demonstrated by internet usage practices, the ability to vary the degree of anonymity in communication is highly attractive. Often, true names, ages, and social statuses are concealed, identities are transformed, or real facts in biographies are substituted or supplemented with fictional ones. Information about experience, qualifications, abilities, knowledge, skills, and so forth may also be hidden. These presentations reflect socially recognized personal qualities, including those acknowledged only within a narrow social or personal culture/subculture (e.g., cyberpunks, music fans, gamers), rather than genuine attributes.

Communication in the network is mainly divided into the following types. The first type is real-time communication (chat), which can occur either with a single interlocutor – where specific channels for such communication are selected – or with multiple people simultaneously. The second type involves communication where messages reach the recipient with a delay, such as in electronic mail or during telephone conferences (newsgroups) with many participants.

Additionally, there are parameters that define the nature of online communities. These include whether the community is open to all willing to communicate but closed to outsiders, and whether there is oversight of participants' activities. Specific instances of control may involve moderation, covert infiltration of closed channels, and eavesdropping. Restrictions may also apply to voice, text, or multimedia content.

The temporal framework of virtual discourse is limited by technological capabilities, such as the availability of computers and internet access, as well as human factors, including the presence or absence of "online" interlocutors. However, virtual discourse, as an artificially created communicative environment, is inherently conditional and lacks boundaries in time and space. The ability for two or more interlocutors to communicate simultaneously from any point in the world leads to the "blurring" of national, ethnic, economic, political, and cultural borders. As a result, virtual spaces are reorganized and take on various network forms where participants in virtual discourse – such as chat rooms, forums, and social networks – gather together.

Internet discourse, like any other type of discourse, possesses numerous structural and lexical-grammatical features. English-language electronic resources are characterized by a quantitative predominance of nouns. The nominative case occupies a central position in the case system of this type of text, primarily due to its role in representing the subject of the action and the recipient of the message.

In typical situations, English nouns often lack clearly expressed meanings, resulting in their absence of special endings. Thus, the relationship of such nouns to other words in a sentence is determined by their position and meaning. Regarding the specificity of the possessive function, it is worth noting that the English possessive noun with the genitive case "s" is actively used in internet discourse.

The use of verbs and nouns is characteristic of English-language electronic texts on contemporary linguistic internet pages. In addition to the use of verbs and nouns, another notable feature of English internet discourse is the use of gerunds. When it comes to personal pronouns, the most common pronouns in English online discourse are "I" (first-person singular) and "we" (first-person plural).

Punctuation rules are often violated in internet texts, and a considerable number of punctuation marks are used. Recently, special abbreviations have been created in internet communications that can convey shortened sentences and words based on the principle of transcribing information.

It is important to note that factors such as age, education, profession, and gender influence the linguistic presentation of texts. Therefore, the liberalization of norms of literary language, the softening of certain stylistic restrictions, the increase in the diversity of linguistic units and usage, and the uncontrolled influx of foreign words are signs of the modern era of information technology.

Conclusions. This study has examined the linguistic features of English-language internet discourse, highlighting the unique characteristics that define communication in digital environments. The findings demonstrate that the use of acronyms, abbreviations, emojis, and creative language practices significantly influences how individuals express themselves online. These linguistic features not only facilitate efficient communication but also serve important social functions, fostering community and identity among users.

The research revealed that internet discourse is inherently adaptive, shaped by the demands of various platforms and the evolving nature of user interactions. The distinctions in language use across different digital contexts underscore the importance of understanding the situational factors that influence communication styles. Furthermore, the emergence of new linguistic phenomena, such as the use of

hashtags, illustrates how users actively negotiate meaning and categorize content in their online exchanges.

Overall, this study contributes to the growing body of literature on internet linguistics, providing valuable insights into how language evolves in response to technological advancements and social dynamics. It emphasizes the need for ongoing research to explore the implications of these linguistic practices for language education, digital literacy, and cultural identity. As digital communication continues to evolve, understanding the linguistic features of internet discourse will be essential for navigating the complexities of language use in the modern world.

Moreover, the implications of these findings extend beyond linguistic theory; they have practical applications in various fields, including education, marketing, and social media management. For educators, understanding the linguistic features of internet discourse can inform teaching strategies that bridge formal language learning with the informal communication styles that students encounter online. Integrating discussions of digital communication into curricula can enhance students' digital literacy, enabling them to navigate both academic and social contexts effectively.

In the realm of marketing and branding, the study's insights into internet discourse can guide businesses in crafting effective communication strategies that resonate with target audiences. Marketers can leverage the use of acronyms, emojis, and informal language to create relatable content that engages consumers on social media platforms. By aligning their messaging with the linguistic practices of their audience, companies can foster stronger connections and enhance brand loyalty in an increasingly competitive digital landscape.

Lastly, the findings highlight the need for further interdisciplinary research that encompasses linguistics, sociology, psychology, and digital communication studies. Understanding the broader sociocultural implications of internet discourse will enrich our comprehension of how language shapes and is shaped by the digital age. Future research could explore the impact of internet discourse on language evolution across different cultures and languages, examining how global digital communication influences local linguistic practices. As the landscape of online interaction continues to evolve, ongoing exploration of these dynamics will be crucial for understanding the role of language in shaping human connection and identity in a digital world.

Virtual types of discourse have not yet been fully developed and will continue to evolve and change with the advancement of internet technologies. At present, we can identify the most common forms of internet discourse, such as chat, ICQ, social networks, internet blogs, and online communities.

Discursive computer communication encompasses narratives and messages, statements and inferences, arguments and evidence, thus incorporating all the terminological properties of scientific, business, and other language styles.

From a sociolinguistic perspective, virtual discourse is a linguistic category that possesses characteristics of both personal discourse and status discourse. This duality allows for a rich analysis of how individuals express themselves and negotiate their identities within various online contexts, reflecting broader societal norms and hierarchies. As internet technologies continue to develop, the nuances of virtual discourse will likely become even more pronounced, necessitating ongoing research and exploration.

REFERENCES:

1. Дудоладова О. В. Інтернет-дискурс як особливий тип дискурсу. Вісник Харківського національного університету ім. В. Н. Каразіна. № 837. Харків, 2008. С. 74–78.

2. Карпа І. Б. Функціональні та прагматичні характеристики інтерактивної віртуальної комунікації (на матеріалі інформаційно-довідкового сервісу Yahoo! Answers) : автореф. дис. … канд. філол. наук: 10.02.04. Херсон, 2010. 20 с.

3. Компанцева Л. Ф. Інтернет-комунікація: когнітивно-прагматичний та лінгвокультурологічний аспекти : автореф. дис. … д-ра філол. наук : спец. 10.02.04. Київ, 2007. 36 с.

4. Кулик В. Дискурс українських медій: ідентичності, ідеології, владні стосунки. Київ: «Критика», 2010. 655 с.

5. Селіванова О.О. Лінгвістична енциклопедія. Полтава: Довкілля-К, 2010. 844 с.

6. Хорошилова В.М. Вплив особливостей інтернет-дискурсу на реалізацію стратегії завдання образи. Вісник ХНУ імені В.Н. Каразіна. Сер. : Іноземна філологія. Методика викладання іноземних мов. Харків, 2015. Вип. 81. С. 182–191.

7. Dijk, T. A. Van. *Society and Discourse. How social contexts control text and talk.* Cambridge : Cambridge University Press, 2009. 299 p.

8. Dirven, R. *Cognitive Exploration of Language and Linguistics*. Amsterdam/Philadelphia : John Benjamins Publishing Company, 2004. 277 p.

9. Evans, V. How words mean: lexical concepts, cognitive models, and meaning-construction. Oxford : Oxford University Press, 2009. 377 p.

10. Hoey, M., Stubbs M., Teubert W. *Text, Discourse and Corpora. Theory and Analysis*. London and New York: Continuum, 2007. 253 p.